



[www.arclimatechange.us](http://www.arclimatechange.us)

**MEETING SUMMARY**  
**ARKANSAS GOVERNOR'S COMMISSION ON GLOBAL WARMING**  
**Energy Supply (ES) Technical Working Group (TWG)**  
**Call #6a, June 6, 2008**  
**1:30 pm to 3:30 pm Central Time**

**Attendees**

**GCGW:** Rob Fisher; Art Hobson, PhD.; Kevan Inboden; Robert McAfee, PhD; Kevin Smith; Kathy Webb

**Governor's Office:** Andrew Parker

**Advisory Body Members:**

**Center for Climate Strategies:** Donna Boysen

**Background Documents** (*All posted at [www.arclimatechange.us/ee.cfm](http://www.arclimatechange.us/ee.cfm)*)

1. Notice and Agenda
2. Call #6 Meeting Summary
3. PowerPoint for Teleconference
4. Revised ES Straw Proposal Template
5. Revised ES Quantification Memo
6. Draft Quantification for AR ES-9

**Introductions and Review of Agenda**

Donna Boysen initiated the call and welcomed the TWG members, completed a roll call of the members of the Technical Work Group and briefly reviewed the items from Call #6.

Donna presented the TWG with CCS's initial policy option quantification, an AR excel workbook titled "Arkansas Proposed Policy Options, ES-9, Nuclear Power Index." The TWG were provided an introduction/summary of the workbook and the data it contains, as well as an explanation of the starting point and baseline for quantifying this data. Each tab of the workbook was examined by the TWG and Donna answered any questions that arose during this process.

Next, the TWG reviewed the “Revised ES Straw Proposal Template” and began to inspect the Energy Supply (ES) policy options; talking through the goals, definition and language of each of the options. Donna noted that once the initial quantifications for each of these options are completed the TWGs discussion process would be better informed. .

After reviewing the quantification process the TWG attempted to work the policy option language in order for it to be quantifiable. In particular, the group had an in-depth discussion on how best to quantify policy option #6, dealing with combined heat and power. The group decided to delay any changes in the language until the initial quantifications are completed.

Finally, the TWG decided to save carbon tax for later and Donna noted that she will provide a summary sheet of the inventory and forecast process as well as a document explaining the levelized costs of the process.

### **Date and Time for Next Meetings**

The next TWG meeting is scheduled for:

- Meeting #7, June 13, 2008, 1:30 PM – 3:30 PM CDT

### **Public Input and Announcements**

William Ball made some remarks touching on RPS stating there will be transmission upgrade requirements because of the locations where the energy technology will be placed; there may not be good transmission in those locations. ES-3b will likely improve the transmission, thus not requiring transmission upgrades. On CHP we should think of that not just in large plants, but also in terms of cooling and chiller approach. Also on ES-8, William spoke of attaining those goals through improved distributed generation.